# **CURIA - Documents**

curia.europa.eu/juris/document/document.jsf

# Start printing

Language of document : ECLI:EU:C:2018:441

## Judgment of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 14 June 2018 — Makhlouf v Council

### (Case C-458/17 P) (<u>1</u>)

(Appeal — Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against the Syrian Arab Republic — Measures directed against influential businessmen and women engaged in activities in <u>Syria</u> and against influential members of the Assad and Makhlouf families — Rights of defence — Proof that inclusion on the lists is well founded)

1. Appeal – Grounds – Mistaken assessment of the facts – Inadmissibility – Review by the Court of the findings of fact – Possible only where the clear sense of the evidence has been distorted

(Art. 256(1) TFEU; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58, first para.)

(see para. 57)

2. Appeal – Grounds – Plea submitted for the first time in the context of the appeal – Inadmissibility

(Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 170(1))

(see para. 74)

3. Appeal – Grounds – Error of law relied on not identified – Inadmissibility

(Art. 256(1) TFEU; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58, first para.; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Arts 168(1)(d) and 169)

(see para. 75)

4. Appeal – Grounds – Plea against a ground of the judgment not necessary to support the operative part – Invalid plea in law

(Art. 256(1) TFEU)

(see para. 96)

**Operative part** 

### The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the appeal;
- 2. Orders Mr Rami Makhlouf to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union and the European Commission.
- 2. Orders Mr Rami Makhlouf to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union and the European Commission.

<u>1</u> OJ C 309, 18.9.2017.